Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N See Notif Preliminary	ication of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. International filing		y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/FR2003/000157	17 janvier 2003 (13	. 01.2003)	18 janvier 2002 (18.01.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C12N 5/06								
Applicant GENFIT et al.								
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet. 								
This report is also accompan	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheens is for this report and/or she	ts of the descrip	tion, claims and/or drawings which have					
These annexes consist of a to	otal of sheets							
3. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following items:							
I Basis of the report								
II Priority								
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to no	elty, inventive	step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of inv	vention vention							
V Reasoned statement citations and explan	t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such state	gard to novelty, inent	inventive step or industrial applicability;					
VI Certain documents	cited							
VII Certain defects in the	ne international application							
VIII Certain observation	s on the international applica	tion						
								
Date of submission of the demand		of completion of	etion of this report					
04 août 2003 (04.08.20	003)	04 Fe	bruary 2004 (04.02.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Auth	Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR2003/000157

I. Basis of the report						
1. This unde	repor r Artic	t has been drawn of the last decired to	on the basis of (Replacement she in this report as "originally filed	eets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation I" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):		
		the international	l application as originally filed	I.		
	\boxtimes	the description,	pages - 1-41	, as originally filed,		
			pages	, filed with the demand,		
			pages	, filed with the letter of,		
			pages	, filed with the letter of		
	\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos. 1-42	, as originally filed,		
			Nos.	, as amended under Article 19,		
			Nos.	, filed with the demand,		
			Nos.	, filed with the letter of,		
			Nos.	, filed with the letter of		
	\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig 1/4-4/4	, as originally filed,		
			sheets/fig	, filed with the demand,		
			sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of,		
			sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of		
2. The a	mend	ments have resulte	ed in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages	_		
		the claims,	Nos	_		
		the drawings,		•		
3.	This to go	report has been es beyond the disclo	stablished as if (some of) the a sure as filed, as indicated in t	umendments had not been made, since they have been considered he Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
4 4 1 1 1 1	. ,					
4. Addit	ional	observations, if ne	cessary:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FR 03/00157

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			_
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1~42	
		Claims		ı
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-14, 17, 18, 23-26, 36, 37, 42	Y
		Claims	15, 16, 19-22, 27-35, 38, 39-41	ľ
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-42	``
		Claims		N

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Chawla et al, 1993, JBC, 268(22): 16265-16269;

D2: Gervois et al, 1999, Mol. Endo, 13(3): 400-409;

D3: Austin et al, 1998, Cell Growth & Dif., 9: 267-276;

D4: Fontaine et al, 2003, JBC, 278(39): 37672-37680.

D4 was not cited in the international search report.

Inventive step

Adipocyte differentiation is a complex process that is controlled in a coordinated manner by a network of multiple transcription factors that induce the expression of PPAR GAMMA, which is the main coordinator of adipocyte differentiation (see the description, page 2, lines 13-22).

It has been demonstrated that the REV-ERB ALPHA receptor is induced during adipocyte differentiation and that such induction is caused by a transcription mechanism (see D1, the abstract, page 16266, left-hand column, fifth paragraph to page 16268, right-hand column, first

A V

paragraph; figures 1-3).

In addition, in HepG2 liver cells, REV-ERB ALPHA transcription (see D2, page 401, right-hand column, first paragraph to page 403, left-hand column, last paragraph; figure 1) is increased by PPAR ALPHA, which binds to the REV-DR2 site of REV-ERB ALPHA. In said document, HepG2 cells were transfected with a construct comprising a reporter gene and a fragment of the REV-ERB ALPHA promoter in the presence of a vector enabling PPAR ALPHA expression, and the induction of REV-ERB ALPHA gene transcription by fibrates was tested (see figure 2).

Finally, the transfection of 3T3-L1 pre-adipocyte cells with a REV-ERB ALPHA expression vector is described in D3 (see page 271, left-hand column, last paragraph to page 272, left-hand column, third paragraph; figures 7 and 8; page 275, left-hand column, second paragraph).

In view of the above, it is a routine technical step for a person skilled in the art to combine all of the features disclosed in claims 15, 16, 19-22, 27-35, 38 and 39-41 with the teachings of documents **D1-D3**:

The method of claims 15 and 16 differs from the one described in D2 (see figure 2 and page 402, left-hand column to page 403, left-hand column) in that a vector comprising PPAR GAMMA and HepG2 cells is used. Since some of the genes targeted by PPAR ALPHA and PPAR GAMMA are the same, a person skilled in the art would have been prompted to discover whether the PPAR GAMMA receptor also binds to the REV-ERB2 site of REV-ERB ALPHA and would thereby have arrived at the same results as the invention.

The 3T3-L1 pre-adipocyte cells described in D3 differ from

those in claims 19-22, 27-35, 38 and 39-41 by virtue of the nucleic acid sequences added to the vector. Since there are no special technical features constituting a technical improvement over the prior art, the proposed cells are an alternative solution to a known method for producing 3T3-L1 pre-adipocyte cells transfected with REB-ERV ALPHA and provide the same or similar effects.

It follows that the subject matter of claims 15, 16, 19-22, 27-35, 38 and 39-41 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Further observations

A

1)

The present claims 1-14, 15 and 16 relate to a 1. method for identifying compounds defined by reference to a desirable feature or property thereof, namely the ability of said compounds to modulate adipocyte differentiation. The claims cover all methods that have this feature or property, yet the application only provides support under the terms of PCT Article 6 and/or a disclosure under the terms of PCT Article 5 for a very limited number of such methods. In the present case, the lack of support for the claims and of disclosure for the application is such that it is impossible to carry out a meaningful examination of the entire scope covered by the claims. Irrespective of the reasons set out above, the claims also lack clarity. Indeed, the claims attempt to define the method in terms of the result to be achieved. In the present case, this lack of clarity is again such that it is impossible to carry out a meaningful examination of the entire scope covered by the claims.

result, the examination has only been carried out with respect to those parts of the claims with subject matter that appears to be clear, supported and sufficiently disclosed, namely those parts relating to the methods mentioned in the examples on pages 33-40, i.e. those relating to the generation of stable pre-adipocytes, particularly 3T3-L1 cells, infected with a retroviral vector carrying all of the cDNA coding for the REV-ERB ALPHA receptor; and the expression of REV-ERB ALPHA on said cell lines in the presence of rosiglitazone (PPAR GAMMA ligand), as measured by immunocytochemical analyses or Western blot.

2. Claims 17 and 18 relate to compounds capable of modulating adipocyte differentiation. These claims do not fulfil the requirements of PCT Article 6 in so far as the subject matter for which protection is sought has not been clearly defined in structural terms (i.e. agonists or antagonists of the REV-ERB ALPHA receptor). Contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 6, said claims are not supported by the description since their scope is broader than that justified by the description and the drawings.

The claims are speculative and attempt to define the subject matter thereof in terms of the result to be achieved, yet this merely amounts to stating the basic problem that the invention is intended to solve.

It should be noted that, in **D4** (publication in the present application), the inventors suggest that REV-ERB ALPHA may have a role in adipogenesis, but the molecular mechanisms by means of which REV-ERB

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FR 03/00157

ALPHA increases adipogenesis remain unknown (see the discussion, page 37679, right-hand column, last paragraph to page 37680, left-hand column, second paragraph).